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Project Summary: Architectural Testing, Inc. was contracted by Brick-It to perform testing per Florida Building Code, Test Protocols for High Velocity Hurricane Zone, Protocols TAS 201-94, TAS 202-94, and TAS 203-94 on three Series/Model Brick Veneer Wall Panel Systems (Densglas) and three Brick Veneer Wall Panel Systems (OSB). The samples tested met the performance requirements set forth in the protocols for a ±75.0 psf Design Pressure rating. Test specimen description and results are reported herein. The samples were provided by the client.

Test Procedures: The test specimens were evaluated in accordance with the following:

TAS 201-94, Impact Test Procedures.


TAS 203-94, Criteria for Testing Products Subject to Cyclic Wind Pressure Loading.

Drawing Reference: The test specimen drawings have been reviewed and verified by Architectural Testing and are representative of the samples tested.
Test Specimen Description:

Test Specimen #1 - #3:

**Series/Model:** Brick Veneer Panel System (Densglas)

**Test Wall Description:** The unit was installed onto an 8' 0-1/4" wide by 2' 9" tall Spruce-Pine-Fir wood wall, with 2x4 studs located 16" on center. Densglas sheathing was installed over the studs and secured with 1-5/8" drywall screws, located 2" from all corners and spaced 10" on center at each stud and around the perimeter.

Test Specimen #4 - #6:

**Series/Model:** Brick Veneer Panel System (OSB)

**Test Wall Description:** The unit was installed onto an 8' 0-1/4" wide by 2' 9" tall Spruce-Pine-Fir wood wall, with 2x4 studs located 16" on center. OSB sheathing was installed over the studs and secured with 1-1/2" brad nails, located 2" from all corners and spaced 10" on center at each stud and around the perimeter.

*The following details apply to all test specimens.*

**Product Type:** Wall Panel System

**Overall Size:** 8' 0-1/4" wide by 2' 8-3/4" high

**Individual Panel Size (4):** 8' 0-1/4" wide by 8-3/16" high

**Finish:** All veneer bricks were red.

**Test Specimen Description:** A vapor barrier wrap was installed over the wall and secured with 3/8" crowned staples. Four 18 gauge (0.050") roll-formed steel interlocking panels were installed with 1" crowned staples located on each course around the perimeter and spaced 16" on center at each stud. Each panel was three brick courses high, resulting in 12 brick courses for the entire test specimen. The 7-1/2" wide by 2-1/4" high by 1/2" thick bricks were glued to the steel panels. All brick joints were then filled with mortar. A silicone sealant was used to seal the perimeter of the panel.
**Test Results:** The following results have been recorded:

**Protocol TAS 202-94, Static Air Pressure Tests**

**Design Pressure:** ±75.0 psf

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title of Test</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Infiltration</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.57 psf (25 mph)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01 cfm/ft²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.24 psf (50 mph)</td>
<td>0.22 cfm/ft²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structural Loads</th>
<th>Indicator Readings (inch)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50% of Test Pressure (+56.43 psf)</td>
<td>#1  #2   #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Deflection</td>
<td>&lt;0.01  0.02   &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Set</td>
<td>&lt;0.01  &lt;0.01  &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Design Pressure (+75.24 psf)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Deflection</td>
<td>0.01   0.04   0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Set</td>
<td>&lt;0.01  &lt;0.01  &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% of Test Pressure (-56.43 psf)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Deflection</td>
<td>0.01   0.06   0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Set</td>
<td>&lt;0.01  0.01   &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Design Pressure (-75.24 psf)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Deflection</td>
<td>0.01   0.09   0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Set</td>
<td>&lt;0.01  0.02   &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Water Infiltration**

15% Positive Design Pressure (+11.29 psf) No Penetration

Test Pressure (+112.85 psf)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Deflection</th>
<th>Permanent Set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test Pressure (-112.85 psf)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Deflection</th>
<th>Permanent Set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** See Architectural Testing Sketch #4 for indicator locations.
Test Results: (Continued)

Protocol TAS 201-94, Impact Test Procedures

Missile Weight: 9.25 lbs
Muzzle Distance from Test Specimen: 17 ft.

Test Unit #1

**Impact #1**: Missile Velocity: 49.9 fps
- **Impact Area**: Lower left corner
- **Observations**: Impacted target area, fractured brick and mortar façade, dented steel interlock and damaged the densglas, no penetration.

  **Results**: Pass

**Impact #2**: Missile Velocity: 50.0 fps
- **Impact Area**: Five brick courses down at steel interlock, and in-between the wood studs at the third cavity from the left side.
- **Observations**: Impacted target area, fractured brick and mortar façade, dented the steel interlock and damaged the densglas, no penetration.

  **Results**: Pass

**Impact #3**: Missile Velocity: 49.9 fps
- **Impact Area**: Five courses up from the bottom, in-between the steel interlocks, and in-between the wood studs at the third cavity from the left side.
- **Observations**: Impacted target area, fractured brick and mortar façade, dented the steel interlock, and damaged the densglas, no penetration.

  **Results**: Pass

*Note: Refer to Architectural Testing Sketch #1 for impact locations.*
Test Results: (Continued)

Protocol TAS 201-94, *Impact Test Procedures*

**Missile Weight**: 9.25 lbs  
**Muzzle Distance from Test Specimen**: 17 ft.

**Test Unit #2**

**Impact #1**: Missile Velocity: 50.3 fps  
**Impact Area**: Upper right corner  
**Observations**: Impacted target area, fractured brick and mortar façade, dented steel interlock and damaged the densglas, no penetration.  
**Results**: Pass

**Impact #2**: Missile Velocity: 50.1 fps  
**Impact Area**: Five courses up from the bottom, in-between the steel interlocks, and in-between the wood studs at the third cavity from the left side.  
**Observations**: Impacted target area, fractured brick and mortar façade, dented the steel interlock and damaged the densglas, no penetration.  
**Results**: Pass

**Impact #3**: Missile Velocity: 50.4 fps  
**Impact Area**: Five brick courses down at steel interlock, in-between the wood studs at the third cavity from the left side.  
**Observations**: Impacted target area, fractured brick and mortar façade, dented the steel interlock, and damaged the densglas, no penetration.  
**Results**: Pass

*Note*: Refer to Architectural Testing Sketch #2 for impact locations.
Test Results: (Continued)

Protocol TAS 201-94, Impact Test Procedures

Missile Weight: 9.25 lbs
Muzzle Distance from Test Specimen: 17 ft.

Test Unit #3

Impact #1: Missile Velocity: 50.3 fps
Impact Area: Upper left corner
Observations: Impacted target area, fractured brick and mortar façade, dented and separated steel interlock approximately 4", densglas was damaged and separated from corner, no penetration.

Results: Pass

Impact #2: Missile Velocity: 49.8 fps
Impact Area: Five courses up from the bottom, in-between the steel interlocks, and in-between the wood studs at the third cavity from the left side.
Observations: Impacted target area, fractured brick and mortar façade, dented the steel interlock and damaged the densglas, no penetration.

Results: Pass

Impact #3: Missile Velocity: 50.1 fps
Impact Area: Five brick courses down at steel interlock, in-between the wood studs at the third cavity from the left side.
Observations: Impacted target area, fractured brick and mortar façade, dented the steel interlock, and damaged the densglas, no penetration.

Results: Pass

Note: Refer to Architectural Testing Sketch #3 for impact locations.
Test Results: The following results have been recorded:

Protocol TAS 201-94, Impact Test Procedures

Missile Weight: 9.14 lbs
Muzzle Distance from Test Specimen: 17 ft.

Test Unit #4

**Impact #1**: Missile Velocity: 50.4 fps
- **Impact Area**: Lower left corner, three courses up from bottom at the interlock
- **Observations**: Impacted target area, fractured brick and mortar façade, dented steel panel, no penetration.
- **Results**: Pass

**Impact #2**: Missile Velocity: 50.8 fps
- **Impact Area**: Five courses up from the bottom, between the wood studs, and between the steel interlocks three cavities from the left side.
- **Observations**: Impacted target area, fractured brick and mortar façade, dented steel panel, no penetration.
- **Results**: Pass

**Impact #3**: Missile Velocity: 50.6 fps
- **Impact Area**: Five courses from top, between the wood studs, at the steel interlock, three cavities from the left side.
- **Observations**: Impacted target area, fractured brick and mortar façade, dented steel panel, no penetration.
- **Results**: Pass

*Note: Refer to Architectural Testing Sketch #5 for impact locations.*
Test Results:  (Continued)

Protocol TAS 201-94, *Impact Test Procedures*

**Missile Weight:** 9.14 lbs  
**Muzzle Distance from Test Specimen:** 17 ft.

Test Unit #5

**Impact #1:** Missile Velocity: 50.9 fps  
**Impact Area:** Upper right corner, three courses from the top at the interlock  
**Observations:** Impacted target area, fractured brick and mortar façade, dented steel panel, no penetration.

**Results:** Pass

**Impact #2:** Missile Velocity: 50.8 fps  
**Impact Area:** Five courses up from the bottom, between the wood studs, and between the steel interlocks, three cavities from the left side.  
**Observations:** Impacted target area, fractured brick and mortar façade, dented steel panel, no penetration.

**Results:** Pass

**Impact #3:** Missile Velocity: 50.5 fps  
**Impact Area:** Five courses from top, between the wood studs, at the steel interlock, three cavities from the left side.  
**Observations:** Impacted target area, fractured brick and mortar façade, dented steel panel, no penetration.

**Results:** Pass

*Note:* Refer to Architectural Testing Sketch #6 for impact locations.
Test Results: (Continued)

Protocol TAS 201-94, Impact Test Procedures

Missile Weight: 9.14 lbs
Muzzle Distance from Test Specimen: 17 ft.

Test Unit #6

**Impact #1:** Missile Velocity: 50.5 fps

Impact Area: Upper left corner, three courses from the top at the interlock
Observations: Impacted target area, fractured brick and mortar façade, dented steel panel, no penetration.

Results: Pass

**Impact #2:** Missile Velocity: 50.8 fps

Impact Area: Five courses from top, between the wood studs, at the steel interlock, three cavities from the left side.
Observations: Impacted target area, fractured brick and mortar façade, dented steel panel, no penetration.

Results: Pass

**Impact #3:** Missile Velocity: 50.5 fps

Impact Area: Five courses up from the bottom, between the wood studs, and between the steel interlocks, three cavities from the left side.
Observations: Impacted target area, fractured brick and mortar façade, dented steel panel, no penetration.

Results: Pass

*Note:* Refer to Architectural Testing Sketch #7 for impact locations.
Test Results: (Continued)

Protocol TAS 203-94, *Cyclic Wind Pressure Loading*

Test Unit #1

Design Pressure: ±75.0 psf

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure Range (psf)</th>
<th>Number of Cycles</th>
<th>Average Cycle Time (sec.)</th>
<th>Maximum Deflection at Indicator (inch)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 to 37.5</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 to 45.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 to 97.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Permanent Set (inch): <0.01 0.04 <0.01

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure Range (psf)</th>
<th>Number of Cycles</th>
<th>Average Cycle Time (sec.)</th>
<th>Maximum Deflection at Indicator (inch)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 to 37.5</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 to 45.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 to 97.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Permanent Set (inch): <0.01 0.02 0.03

Result: Pass

*Note: Refer to Architectural Testing Sketch #4 for indicator locations.*
Test Results: (Continued)

Protocol TAS 203-94, *Cyclic Wind Pressure Loading*

Test Unit #2

Design Pressure: ±75.0 psf

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure Range (psf)</th>
<th>Number of Cycles</th>
<th>Average Cycle Time (sec.)</th>
<th>Maximum Deflection at Indicator (inch)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POSITIVE PRESSURE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 to 37.5</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 to 45.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 to 97.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Permanent Set (inch)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure Range (psf)</th>
<th>Number of Cycles</th>
<th>Average Cycle Time (sec.)</th>
<th>Maximum Deflection at Indicator (inch)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEGATIVE PRESSURE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 to 37.5</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 to 45.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 to 97.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Permanent Set (inch)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Result: Pass

*Note:* Refer to Architectural Testing Sketch #4 for indicator locations.
**Test Results**: (Continued)

**Protocol TAS 203-94, Cyclic Wind Pressure Loading**

Test Unit #3  
Design Pressure: ±75.0 psf

### POSITIVE PRESSURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure Range (psf)</th>
<th>Number of Cycles</th>
<th>Average Cycle Time (sec.)</th>
<th>Maximum Deflection at Indicator (inch)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0 to 37.5</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01 &lt;0.01 &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 to 45.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>&lt;0.01 0.04 &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 to 97.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>&lt;0.01 0.08 &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Permanent Set (inch):  
<0.01 <0.01 <0.01

### NEGATIVE PRESSURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure Range (psf)</th>
<th>Number of Cycles</th>
<th>Average Cycle Time (sec.)</th>
<th>Maximum Deflection at Indicator (inch)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0 to 37.5</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.01 0.08 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 to 45.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>0.01 0.08 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 to 97.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.06 0.23 0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Permanent Set (inch):  
0.01 0.06 0.02

Result: Pass

*Note: Refer to Architectural Testing Sketch #4 for indicator locations.*
Test Equipment:

- **Cannon**: Steel pipe barrel utilizing compressed air to propel the missile
- **Missile**: 2x4 Southern Pine
- **Timing Device**: Electronic Beam Type
- **Cycling Mechanism**: Computer controlled centrifugal blower with electronic pressure measuring device
- **Deflection Measuring Device**: Linear transducers

**Laboratory Compliance Statements**: The following are provided as required by the protocols for the testing reported herein.

Upon completion of testing, specimens tested for TAS 201-94 met the requirements of Section 1626 of the Florida Building Code, Building (2004).

Upon completion of testing, specimens tested for TAS 202-94 met the requirements of Section 1620 of the Florida Building Code, Building (2004).

Upon completion of testing, specimens tested for TAS 203-94 met the requirements of Section 1625 of the Florida Building Code, Building (2004).

Tape and film were used to seal against air leakage during structural testing. In our opinion, the tape and film did not influence the results of the test.

Testing was conducted at the Architectural Testing, Inc. laboratory located in York, Pennsylvania.

**List of Official Observers**:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michael D. Stremmel, P.E.</td>
<td>Architectural Testing, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric M. Brennan</td>
<td>Architectural Testing, Inc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Detailed drawings, data sheets, representative samples of test specimens, a copy of this report, or other pertinent project documentation will be retained by Architectural Testing, Inc. for a period of ten years from the original test date. At the end of this retention period, such materials shall be discarded without notice and the service life of this report will expire.

Results obtained are tested values and were secured by using the designated test methods. This report does not constitute certification of this product nor an opinion or endorsement by this laboratory. It is the exclusive property of the client so named herein and relates only to the specimen(s) tested. This report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Architectural Testing, Inc.

For ARCHITECTURAL TESTING, INC.

Eric M. Brennan
Technician

Michael D. Stremmel, P.E.
Senior Project Engineer

Attachments (pages): This report is complete only when all attachments listed are included.
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Drawings
Architectural Testing

Test sample complies with these details. Deviations are noted.

Report: 92-460-00-109-19
Date 4/18/06 Tech B. Svenson

GALV. METAL GRID 96"X8½"

TYVEK WRAP

5/8" DENSGLASS GOLD EXTERIOR SHEATHING OR ½" OSB BOARD

2X4 STUD 16" O.C.
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ADHESIVE

THIN BRICK

FASTENER